

Consider the following economy

Each period a continuum of mass $(1 - \delta)$ agents are born at age 0

Agents survive from age a to $a + 1$ with constant probability δ .

The total population is

$$(1 - \delta)(1 + \delta + \delta^2 + \dots) = 1$$

Assume the environment is stationary, so we need not worry about time subscripts

Agents maximize expected utility, which is given by

$$E \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} (\beta\delta)^a u(c_a)$$

where

$$u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

Agents are subject to idiosyncratic wage shocks that are *iid* across agents. Agents supply one unit of time per period. The initial wage at age zero is lognormally distributed:

$$\ln(w_0) = \alpha_0 \sim N\left(-\frac{v_0}{2}, v_0\right)$$

Wages subsequently evolve according to

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{a+1} &= \alpha_a + \omega_{a+1} & a \geq 0 \\ \omega_{a+1} &\sim N\left(\mu - \frac{v_\omega}{2}, v_\omega\right) \end{aligned}$$

where the actual (level) wage is $w_a = \exp(\alpha_a)$.

The market structure is as follows. Agents are endowed with zero wealth at birth. Then they can trade bonds at a constant price q . They cannot trade prior to drawing α_0 . The generic budget constraint is

$$\begin{aligned} c_a + qb_{a+1} &= b_a + w_a & a \geq 0 \\ b_0 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

There is a borrowing constraint,

$$b_{a+1} \geq \phi$$

Bonds are in zero net supply.

1. Suppose, to start that $\phi = 0$. Argue that in equilibrium it must be the case that

$$\begin{aligned} b_a &= 0 & a \geq 0 \\ c_a &= w_a & a \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Argue (intuitively) that any value for q above a certain threshold is an equilibrium.

Agents cannot borrow by assumption. They cannot save because if no agents are borrowing, aggregate savings will be positive if a positive measure of agents save, and the aggregate supply of bonds is zero. To make sure no agents want to save, all that is required is a sufficiently low interest rate.

2. Suppose now that $\phi < 0$. Show that there is an equilibrium with allocations as in part (1) and derive an expression for the (now unique) equilibrium value for q .¹

Now agents can potentially borrow. We want to construct an equilibrium in which agents do not do so. The inter-temporal first order condition for an agent with age a and current wage w is

$$c_a(w_a)^{-\gamma} q = \beta \delta E_a [c_{a+1}(w_{a+1})^{-\gamma}]$$

Suppose allocations are as describe above. Then $c_a(w_a) = \exp(\alpha_a)$ and $c_{a+1}(w_{a+1}) = \exp(\alpha_a + \omega_{t+1})$, implying a bond price required to support this equilibrium of

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \frac{\beta \delta E_a [c_{a+1}(w_{a+1})^{-\gamma}]}{c_a(w_a)^{-\gamma}} = \frac{\beta \delta E_a [\exp(-\gamma \alpha_a) \exp(-\gamma \omega_{a+1})]}{\exp(-\gamma \alpha_a)} \\ &= \beta \delta E_a [\exp(-\gamma \omega_{a+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Using the “trick”,

$$E_a [\exp(-\gamma \omega_{a+1})] = \exp(-\gamma \mu + \gamma \frac{v_\omega}{2} + \gamma^2 \frac{v_\omega}{2})$$

So

$$q = \beta \delta \exp(-\gamma \mu) \exp(\gamma(\gamma + 1) \frac{v_\omega}{2})$$

This expression is independent of the individual states a and w , so we have effectively shown that our candidate allocations and price satisfy the agent’s inter-temporal FOC for any possible pair (α, w) .

3. We could imagine cross-sectional consumption inequality in this economy increasing because of (i) an increase in μ (which would increase inequality across age groups), (ii) because of an increase in v_0 , or (iii) because of an increase in v_ω . Assuming $\gamma > 1$, characterize the effects of these three different changes on the equilibrium interest rate $r = \frac{1-q}{q}$ and give some intuition.

¹Here is a useful trick which I tried to explain in class that will be useful for this problem. Suppose $\omega \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Then $E[\exp(\omega)] = \exp(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2})$. It follows directly that for some constant κ , $\kappa \omega \sim N(\kappa \mu, \kappa^2 \sigma^2)$, so $E[\exp(\kappa \omega)] = E[\exp(\omega)^\kappa] = \exp(\kappa \mu + \frac{\kappa^2 \sigma^2}{2})$.

(i) increasing μ lowers q and raises r (intuition: with faster expected consumption growth, a higher interest rate is required to dissuade agents from borrowing)

(ii) v_0 does not affect the equilibrium interest rate since α_0 is drawn before the bond market opens

(iii) increasing v_ω raises the bond price and lowers the equilibrium interest rate (intuition: more volatile consumption growth raises demand for precautionary savings, a lower equilibrium interest cools down this extra demand).

4. Derive an expression for expected lifetime utility for a newborn agent who has yet to draw any shocks.

Expected lifetime utility is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
E \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} (\beta\delta)^a u(c_a) &= E \left[\frac{\exp((1-\gamma)\alpha_0)}{(1-\gamma)} \right] + \beta\delta E \left[\frac{\exp((1-\gamma)(\alpha_0 + \omega_1))}{(1-\gamma)} \right] \\
&\quad + (\beta\delta)^2 E \left[\frac{\exp((1-\gamma)(\alpha_0 + \omega_1 + \omega_2))}{(1-\gamma)} \right] + \dots \\
&= \frac{1}{1-\gamma} E [\exp((1-\gamma)\alpha_0)] \left[1 + \beta\delta E [\exp((1-\gamma)\omega_1)] E [\exp((1-\gamma)\omega_2)] + \dots \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \exp(-\gamma(1-\gamma)\frac{v_0}{2}) \left[1 + \beta\delta \exp\left((1-\gamma)\mu - \gamma(1-\gamma)\frac{v_\omega}{2}\right) + (\beta\delta)^2 \exp\left((1-\gamma)\mu - \gamma(1-\gamma)\frac{v_\omega}{2}\right) + \dots \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \exp(-\gamma(1-\gamma)\frac{v_0}{2}) \frac{1}{1 - \beta\delta \exp\left((1-\gamma)\mu - \gamma(1-\gamma)\frac{v_\omega}{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

5. Define the (steady state) welfare effect of a change in the wage process from (μ, v_0, v_ω) to $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{v}_0, \hat{v}_\omega)$ as the value for λ that satisfies

$$E_{|(\mu, v_0, v_\omega)} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} (\beta\delta)^a u(c_a(1+\lambda)) = E_{|(\hat{\mu}, \hat{v}_0, \hat{v}_\omega)} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} (\beta\delta)^a u(c_a)$$

This welfare measure basically asks “by what percentage amount would one have to increase consumption in every date and state in an economy with (μ, v_0, v_ω) to leave an agent indifferent between being born into the (μ, v_0, v_ω) economy versus being born into the $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{v}_0, \hat{v}_\omega)$ economy.” Assume $\beta = 0.96$, $\delta = 0.98$, $\gamma = 2$, $\mu = 0.02$, $v_0 = 0.2$, $v_\omega = 0.02$. Using your answer to (4) compute values for λ corresponding to

- (a) $\mu \rightarrow \hat{\mu} = 0.03$
(b) $v_0 \rightarrow \hat{v}_0 = 0.3$
(c) $v_\omega \rightarrow \hat{v}_\omega = 0.03$

Take (a). We have

$$\exp(-\gamma(1-\gamma)\frac{v_0}{2}) \frac{1}{1 - \beta\delta \exp\left((1-\gamma)\mu - \gamma(1-\gamma)\frac{v_\omega}{2}\right)} (1+\lambda)^{1-\gamma} = \exp(-\gamma(1-\gamma)\frac{v_0}{2}) \frac{1}{1 - \beta\delta \exp\left((1-\gamma)\hat{\mu} - \gamma(1-\gamma)\frac{v_\omega}{2}\right)}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{1 - \beta\delta \exp\left((1 - \gamma)\mu - \gamma(1 - \gamma)\frac{v_\omega}{2}\right)}(1 + \lambda)^{1-\gamma} = \exp\left(-\gamma(1 - \gamma)\frac{\Delta v_0}{2}\right)\frac{1}{1 - \beta\delta \exp\left((1 - \gamma)\hat{\mu} - \gamma(1 - \gamma)\frac{v_\omega}{2}\right)}$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - 0.96 \times 0.98 \exp\left((1 - 2)0.02 - 2(1 - 2)\frac{0.02}{2}\right)}(1 + \lambda)^{1-2} = \frac{1}{1 - 0.96 \times 0.98 \exp\left((1 - 2)0.03 - 2(1 - 2)\frac{0.02}{2}\right)}$$

Solution is: $\lambda = 0.158$. Thus a 1% point increase in the growth rate is worth a permanent (but once and for all) 15.8 percent increase in current consumption

(b)

$$\frac{1}{1 - \beta\delta \exp\left((1 - \gamma)\mu - \gamma(1 - \gamma)\frac{v_\omega}{2}\right)}(1 + \lambda)^{1-\gamma} = \exp\left(-\gamma(1 - \gamma)\frac{\Delta v_0}{2}\right)\frac{1}{1 - \beta\delta \exp\left((1 - \gamma)\hat{\mu} - \gamma(1 - \gamma)\frac{v_\omega}{2}\right)}$$

$$(1 + \lambda)^{1-2} = \exp\left(-2(1 - 2)\frac{0.1}{2}\right)$$

Solution is $\lambda = -0.095$

(c)

$$\frac{1}{1 - \beta\delta \exp\left((1 - \gamma)\mu - \gamma(1 - \gamma)\frac{v_\omega}{2}\right)}(1 + \lambda)^{1-\gamma} = \exp\left(-\gamma(1 - \gamma)\frac{\Delta v_0}{2}\right)\frac{1}{1 - \beta\delta \exp\left((1 - \gamma)\hat{\mu} - \gamma(1 - \gamma)\frac{v_\omega}{2}\right)}$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - 0.96 \times 0.98 \exp\left((1 - 2)0.02 - 2(1 - 2)\frac{0.02}{2}\right)}(1 + \lambda)^{1-2} = \frac{1}{1 - 0.96 \times 0.98 \exp\left((1 - 2)0.02 - 2(1 - 2)\frac{0.03}{2}\right)}$$

, Solution is $\lambda = -0.160$